# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WICHITA FALLS DIVISION

LUZ DE AMOR EYTALIS	)
Plaintiff,	) )
v.	) ) Civil Action No. TBD
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS (NAR), TEXAS ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS (TAR),	) 7 - 24CV-147-0
WICHITA FALLS ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS (WFAR) PARAGON MLS CONNECT (MLS),	),)
Defendants.	) )

## **COMPLAINT**

## INTRODUCTION

1. This is a civil action seeking damages and injunctive relief for Defendants' violations of federal civil and antitrust laws, specifically the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C §§ 1-2, and the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 14, as well for breach of contract, unjust enrichment, discriminatory practices, and for creating a monopolistic system that imposes forced membership. These practices disproportionately affect minority professionals and have resulted in inequitable enforcement of rules and exclusion from fair competition in the real estate industry. Plaintiff Luz de Amor Eytalis, a licensed real estate broker in Wichita Falls, Texas, alleges that Defendants National Association of Realtors (NAR), Texas Association of Realtors (TAR), Wichita Falls Association of Realtors (WFAR), and Paragon MLS Connect (MLS) have engaged in monopolistic practices that unlawfully restrict competition in the real estate market by requiring brokers to join multiple associations as a precondition for accessing MLS services,

imposing a financial burden without proportional benefit and penalizing agencies if members opt to not join the membership impeding their ability to do business.

#### **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff, Luz de Amor Eytalis, is a resident of Wichita Falls, Texas, residing at 4809 Reginald Dr, Wichita Falls, Texas 76308. Plaintiff operates as a licensed real estate broker through Strategic Realty and SL Real Estate.
- Defendant, National Association of Realtors (NAR), is a national trade
   association for real estate professionals with its principal office located at 500 New Jersey Ave
   NW, Washington, DC 20001.
- 4. Defendant, Texas Association of Realtors (TAR), is a state-level trade association with its principal office located at 1115 San Jacinto Blvd., Ste 200, Austin, Texas 78701.
- Defendants, Paragon Connect MLS Platform (MLS), is a multiple listing services
   (MLS) provider with offices at 4420 Rosewood Drive, Ste 500, Pleasanton, CA 94588.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, as the claims arise under federal law, including the Sherman Antitrust Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1-2) and the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. § 14). The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over state law claims of breach of contract and unjust enrichment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 7. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this complaint occurred in this district, and the Defendants conduct business within this district.

#### STATEMENT OF FACT

- 8. Defendants have established an exclusionary practice, requiring brokers to join multiple associations (NAR, TAR, and WFAR) to gain access to MLS services provided by Paragon, which are essential for conducting real estate transactions.
- 9. This mandatory membership requirement constitutes an unlawful tying arrangement, as defined in Jefferson Parish Hosp. Dist. No. 2 v. Hyde, 466 U.S. 2 (1984). Brokers must "purchase" association memberships they may not need or want to obtain MLS services.
- 10. This structure has created an anti-competitive monopoly over MLS services, limiting the market's ability to support alternative trade organizations, thereby stifling competition in violation of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1-2.
- 11. Plaintiff and similarly situated brokers are subject to excessive and unjustified fees due to the forced memberships, as these associations fail to provide proportional value in return for these dues.
- 12. Plaintiff has experienced a significant financial burden resulting from these forced membership dues and MLS fees, which have diminished her revenue and impeded her business.
- 13. Defendants' actions, by monopolizing access to essential MLS data, have harmed independent brokers and small, minority-owned businesses disproportionately, further limiting market competition.
- 14. Defendants' have continued to harass plaintiff with invoices and threatening membership of all agents sponsored if invoices are not paid by specific dates causing distress and hardship.

## **COUNTS**

## COUNT I: Violation of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1-2

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 16. Defendants' actions constitute an unreasonable restraint of trade under Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1, by imposing forced membership requirements on brokers as a condition of accessing MLS services.
- 17. Defendants' conduct demonstrates monopolistic behavior in violation of Section 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. § 2, by using their market power to eliminate competition and maintain exclusive control over MLS access.

## COUNT II: Violation of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 14

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 19. The forced membership structure constitutes an unlawful tying arrangement in violation of Section 3 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 14, which prohibits arrangements that may substantially lessen competition or create monopolistic dominance in a market.

#### **COUNT III: Breach of Contract**

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 21. By imposing mandatory memberships, Defendants breached implied contractual obligations to provide equitable value and service proportional to the fees paid by Plaintiff and similarly situated brokers.

22. Defendants have violated the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by imposing excessive fees and forced memberships that serve no legitimate business purpose for Plaintiff.

#### **COUNT IV: Unjust Enrichment**

- 23. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 24. Defendants have been unjustly enriched by extracting membership fees and MLS charges from Plaintiff without providing commensurate benefits, in violation of equitable principles of fairness and good conscience.

## PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Luz de Amor Eytalis respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. A declaratory judgment that Defendants' forced membership policies and monopolistic practices violate the Sherman Antitrust Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1-2, and the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. §
- b. A permanent injunction prohibiting Defendants from continuing their forced membership and tying arrangements
- c. An order requiring Defendants to establish alternative MLS systems that are not contingent upon membership in NAR, TAR, or WFAR, and Paragon.
- d. An order mandating the establishment of a transparent and impartial dispute resolution system to address complaints brought by members without bias or undue financial burden.
- e. Compensatory damages in an amount to be determined at trial, but no less than \$5,800,000, to compensate Plaintiff for the harm caused by Defendants' unlawful conduct

Page 6 of 8

- f. Punitive damages sufficient to punish Defendants for their egregious conduct and to deter similar future misconduct
- g. Restitution for all unjust enrichment, including the refund of excessive fees collected from Plaintiff.
  - h. Pre-and post-judgment interest at the maximum legal rate on all damages awarded
- i. An award of reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and expenses incurred in this action, as deemed just and proper by the Court
  - i. Any such further relief as this Court deems just and equitable

## JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a

trial by jury on all issues so triable. Respectfully submitted, Strategic Realty Services 4200 Fairway Blvd Wichita Falls, TX 76308 940-257-3875 Louallen76@gmail.com CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on November \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2024, a true and correct copy of the Plaintiff's Complaint was served on all counsel of record for the Defendants by \_\_\_\_\_\_. Respectfully submitted, Pro se Plaintiff Luz de Amor Eytalis

provided by local rules of court	<ul> <li>This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of</li> </ul>	f the United States in September 1	MOU 25 2024 AMILITANE The of pleadings of other papers as required by law, except as 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the	
<u> </u>	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FORM.)  DEFENDANTS	1	
()		DEFENDANTS	•	
Luz de Amor Ey	talis		LS ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS	
` '	(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff WICHITA County of Residence of First Listed Defendant WICHITA			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		NOTE: IN LAND CO	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)  Attorneys (If Known)				
PRO SE 7 - 24 CV - 147 - 0 ANTHONY W. BATES SHERRILL & GIBSON, PLLC				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff				
1 U.S. Government	X 3 Federal Question	(For Diversity Cases Only) P	and One Box for Defendant) TF DEF PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 4 of Business In This State	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2	
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 Soreign Nation 6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY    625 Drug Related Seizure	BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES  422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act	
120 Marine	310 Airplane 365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	423 Withdrawal 376 Qui Tam (31 USC	
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Product Liability Liability 367 Health Care/	690 Other	28 USC 157 3729(a))  INTELLECTUAL 400 State Reapportionment	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS X 410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act	Slander Personal Injury 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability		820 Copyrights 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability 368 Asbestos Personal		835 Patent - Abbreviated 460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine Injury Product 345 Marine Product Liability		New Drug Application 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	ΓY LABOR	840 Trademark Corrupt Organizations 880 Defend Trade Secrets 480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud	710 Fair Labor Standards	Act of 2016 (15 USC 1681 or 1692)	
160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending Product Liability 380 Other Personal	Act 720 Labor/Management	485 Telephone Consumer  SOCIAL SECURITY Protection Act	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Property Damage	Relations	861 HIA (1395ff) 490 Cable/Sat TV	
196 Franchise	Injury 385 Property Damage 362 Personal Injury - Product Liability	740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical	862 Black Lung (923) 850 Securities/Commodities/ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) Exchange	
	Medical Malpractice	Leave Act	864 SSID Title XVI 890 Other Statutory Actions	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION		865 RSI (405(g)) 891 Agricultural Acts	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: 441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee	791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	893 Environmental Matters FEDERAL TAX SUITS 895 Freedom of Information	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment 510 Motions to Vacate	-	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff Act	
240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Sentence Accommodations 530 General		or Defendant) 896 Arbitration 891 IRS—Third Party 899 Administrative Procedure	
245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer, w/Disabilities - 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609 Act/Review or Appeal of	
	Employment Other:	462 Naturalization Application		
•	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights	er 465 Other Immigration Actions	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	448 Education 555 Prison Condition			
	560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of			
V ODICTAT	Confinement			
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)    1 Original   2 Removed from   3 Remanded from   4 Reinstated or   5 Transferred from   6 Multidistrict   8 Multidistrict   8 Multidistrict   1 Eligation -   2 Litigation -   2 Litigation -   2 Litigation -   3 Remanded from   4 Reinstated or   5 Transferred from   6 Multidistrict   1 Eligation -   2 Litigation -   3 Litigation -   4 Reinstated or   5 Transferred from   6 Multidistrict   1 Eligation -   4 Reinstated or   5 Transferred from   6 Multidistrict   1 Eligation -   5 Transferred from   6 Multidistrict   1 Eligation -   5 Transferred from   6 Multidistrict   1 Eligation -   7 Eligation -				
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you ar	specif		
VI CATION ON ACCOMA	SHERMAN ANTI-TRUST ACT & CLAYTON AC			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of cause:	AVTON ACT DEFACT OF SCIE	DAGT INTEGER CANDIDITATION OF THE TABLE	
THE DECEMBER OF		······································	RACT, UNJUST ENRICHMENT, HARRASSMANT AND THREAT	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$ 5800000	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  JURY DEMAND:   Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER				
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
	(OLDIE)	ناد مغی چنان ۱۹۵۹ مناد مغیر چنان ۱۹	MAG REPOR	
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE				

# Case 7:24-cv-00147-O-BP Document 1 Filed 11/25/24 Page 8 of 8 PageID 8 INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

#### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
  - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
  - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- H. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box. Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked. Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.
  - Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.
  - Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.
  - Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.
  - Multidistrict Litigation Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

  Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.

  Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related cases, if any. If a related case exists, whether pending or closed, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases. A case is related to this filing if the case: 1) involves some or all of the same parties and is based on the same or similar claim; 2) involves the same property, transaction, or event; 3) involves substantially similar issues of law and fact; and/or 4) involves the same estate in a bankruptcy appeal.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.